## For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	TOR THE WORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	SKYNET ELECTRONIC CO., LTD., No. C 12-06317 WHA
10	Plaintiff,
11	v. ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION
12	FLEXTRONICS INTERNATIONAL,
13	LTD., et al.,
14	Defendants/
15	This order construes plaintiff's "Emergency Ex Parte Application" to enlarge time as a
16	motion for reconsideration of the October 1 order denying the parties' stipulation to enlarge the
17	briefing schedule on defendants' motion for partial summary judgment (Dkt. Nos. 71–73).
18	Aside from being procedurally deficient under Local Rule 7-9, the motion does not set out any
19	facts constituting emergency circumstances. The "extensive preparation and travel" required for
20	a settlement conference do not justify changing regularly-noticed briefing schedules, and
21	counsel's failure to effectively balance settlement conference preparation and drafting its
22	summary judgment opposition do not create an cognizable "emergency." The motion is
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	DENIED.
25	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.
∠∪	

Dated: October 2, 2013.

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE